

DIAGNOSTIC EFFICIENCY OF LIQUID BASED CYTOLOGY COMPARED WITH CONVENTIONAL PAP.

Dr. Pammy Pravina¹, Dr. Rita Sinha²

¹Junior Resident, Dept. of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Nalanda Medical College and Hospital, Patna, Bihar, India

²Associate Professor, Dept. of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Nalanda Medical College and Hospital Patna, Bihar, India

Article Info: Received 07 February 2020; Accepted 26 March 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.32553/ijmbs.v4i3.1182>

Corresponding author: Dr. Aakarsh Sinha

Conflict of interest: No conflict of interest.

Abstract

Aim: Diagnostic efficiency of liquid based cytology compared with conventional pap

Methods: The present analytical study entitled was conducted in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Nalanda Medical College and Hospital, Patna, Bihar, India over the period of 1 year. The study includes total 100 subjects.

Results: Mean age of the study population was 39.12 years. Majority belongs to 30-40 years of age group. Vaginal discharge was the major problem reported by the study subjects. There were statistically significant differences of satisfactory/unsatisfactory rate and Diagnostic efficacy i.e. sensitivity and specificity of LBC is greater than CPS for evaluation of cervical cytology.

Conclusions: the present study concluded that LBC may improve the sample's quality, reduce the number of unsatisfactory smear and increases the diagnostic efficacy in comparison to CPS.

Keywords: Cervical cancer, Conventional pap smear, Liquid-based cytology, Sensitivity, Specificity.

Introduction

Cervical cancer ranks second in incidence and mortality behind breast cancer in lower HDI settings. About 96,922 new cervical cancer cases are diagnosed annually in India (estimates for 2018). Cervical cancer is the second most common female cancer in women aged 15 to 44 years in India. Rural women are at higher risk of developing cervical cancer as compared to their urban counterparts.ⁱ

Persistent infection of the cervix with high-risk types of human papillomavirus (HPV) has been established as a necessary (but not sufficient) cause for the development of cervical cancer.ⁱⁱ HPV is a very common sexually transmitted infection that is usually acquired soon after the initiation of sexual activity. Most HPV infections clear spontaneously within 1–2 years, but persistent infections with high-risk types of HPV (particularly HPV16 and HPV18) may progress to precursors of and ultimately to invasive cervical cancer.ⁱⁱⁱ

Although the conventional Pap test was responsible for the initial success in reducing the incidence of cervical cancer, the clinical performance of the technology is not without limitations. A broad range of sensitivity (30%–87%) has been reported for the detection of high-grade lesions by the conventional Pap test. The conventional Pap test was also found to have a false-negative rate of about 14% to 33%, approximately two-thirds of which is due to limitations of sampling or slide preparation. Only a small portion of the sample taken from the patient is transferred

to the conventional Pap slide; most of it is discarded along with the sampling device. These limitations may lead to inaccuracies and equivocal diagnoses when using this methodology.

To address these shortcomings, new technologies were introduced. In 1996, the ThinPrep[®] Pap test (Hologic, Inc, Marlborough, MA) became the first LBC approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Implementation of this test, which uses LBC for improved detection of abnormal cervical cells, may be partially responsible for a further decline in cervical cancer following the plateau seen with use of the conventional Pap test. In 1999, a second LBC test was developed and approved by the FDA, the SurePath[™] Pap test (Becton, Dickinson and Company, Franklin Lakes, NJ).^{iv,v}

There is a lack of quality studies to compare the test performance of Liquid Based Cytology and Conventional Pap smear in resource limited setup. Hence the present study was undertaken with the aim to evaluate the diagnostic efficacy of liquid based cytology compared with conventional Pap smear.

Materials and methods

The present analytical study was conducted in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Nalanda Medical College and Hospital, Patna, Bihar, India over the period of 1 year.

Inclusion Criteria: patients above 20 years of age coming with following complaints

- Irregular menses
- Postcoital bleeding
- Discharge per vagium
- Pain lower abdomen.

Exclusion Criteria:

1. Sexually not active(nuns, virginal women)
2. Local cervical lesion causing BPV like cervical erosion, polyp, trauma
3. Women who are pregnant.

Ethical approval and Informed consent

The study protocol was reviewed by the Ethical Committee of the Hospital and granted ethical clearance. After explaining the purpose and details of the study, a written informed consent was obtained.

Methodology

The sample will be taken as part of routine hospital screening of patients for cervical epithelial lesions. Patient is made to lie in dorsal position with knees flexed. Speculum is inserted without cleaning the local area with an antiseptic. The samples would be taken with cervix brush which is broom like device and divided into two part (split-sample technique).

First, conventional Pap smear is prepared and immediately alcohol –fixed. After that smear brush will be detached and suspended in Liquid based cytology (LBC) vial containing preservative fluid, which is transferred to the pathology laboratory for further processing. Both liquid based cytology and conventional pap smear will be done on the same patient using this split method.

LBC uses a sedimentation process whereby samples are enriched to remove debris, followed by centrifugation to generate a pellet, a portion /subset of which is then applied to the slide for analysis. The automatic slide preparation is carried out by the Cell solution F50 processor to generate a thin-layer cell sample. Then both LBC smear and conventional Pap smear will be subjected to papanicolaou staining following which both slide will be analysed by the pathologist.

Statistical analysis

The data was analyzed using SPSS 19 (SPSS Inc. Chicago, IL, USA) Windows software program. Descriptive frequencies were expressed using mean and standard deviation. Sensitivity and specificity were calculated with 95% confidence interval (CI) where relevant.

Table 1: Age distribution of the study population

| Age (Years) | Frequency |
|-------------|------------|
| 20-30 | 21 (21%) |
| 30-40 | 36 (36%) |
| 40-50 | 23 (23%) |
| 50-60 | 15 (15%) |
| >60 | 5 (5%) |
| Total | 100 |
| Mean±SD | 39.12±3.43 |

Table 2: distribution according to presenting complaints

| Complaints | N (%) |
|---------------------|----------|
| Discharge | 42 (42%) |
| Pelvic Pain | 33 (33%) |
| Uterine Bleeding | 17 (17%) |
| Postcoital Bleeding | 8 (8%) |

Table 3: Satisfactory smears in LBC as compared to CPS

| Variables | LBC | CPS |
|----------------|----------|----------|
| Satisfactory | 92 (92%) | 70 (70%) |
| Unsatisfactory | 8 (8%) | 30 (30%) |

Table 4: Diagnostic Accuracy of LBC and PAP

| Variables | LBC | CPS |
|-------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Sensitivity, % (95% CI) | 87.0 (68.78, 97.45) | 44.43 (14.66, 60.80) |
| Specificity, % (95% CI) | 81.0 (28.36, 99.49) | 73.0 (47.62, 92.73) |

Discussion

In the present study majority of the cases were noted between 30-34 years. Similar finding of maximum number of patients presenting to age group of 30 to 35 years were observed by Ranjana H et al.^{vi}

In present study most common presenting complaint was white discharge per vagina (40%). Similar finding were observed by Sherwani RK et al.^{vii} they also found that most common presenting complaints in their study was white discharge per vagina, in (42.5%) cases.

Kenneth and Yao, have emphasized the significance of vaginal discharge and its association with neoplastic changes in the cervix.^{viii} These finding was also observed in our study, i.e. patients presenting with complaints of white discharge were associated with neoplastic lesions in cervix.

Our finding were found in disagreement with the findings of the study conducted by Karimi-Zarchi M et al who reported most common presenting complaint was post-menopausal bleeding in 30.7%.^{ix}

In the present study LBC had more number of satisfactory smears than the conventional PAP smear. According to Monsanego et al.^x the reason for unsatisfactoriness in conventional PAP smear is thick smear and obscuring blood, and inflammatory cells and LBC are reduced number of cells similar.

According to Sherwani et al.⁷ in LBC cytotoxicity and drying artifact are minimal or absent due to immediate fixative in a liquid fixative and lesser limited factors such as inflammatory cells, blood, and mucus and in conventional PAP is due to thick smear.

Our study showed a sensitivity of 44.43% in CPS and 87% in LBC and specificity of 81.0% and 73.0% in CPS and LBC. This was found in agreement with various studies like Sheets et al.^{xi}, Sherman et al.^{xii}, Roberts et al.^{xiii}, Papillo et al.^{xiv} and Yeoh et al.^{xv} also showed higher sensitivity and specificity for LBC than CPS.

Conclusion

The present study concluded that LBC can be considered superior to conventional smear with respect to adequacy of smear, clarity of background and increased sensitivity and specificity. The study confirmed previous reports of decreased numbers of unsatisfactory samples with Liquid based cytology.

References

1. ⁱ Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global Cancer Statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN Estimates of Incidence and Mortality Worldwide for 36 Cancers in 185 Countries. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2018; 68(6):394-424.
2. ⁱⁱ Walboomers JM, Jacobs MV, Manos MM, Bosch FX, Kummer JA, Shah KV, et al. Human papillomavirus is a necessary cause of invasive cervical cancer worldwide. *J Pathol.* 1999; 189(1):12-9.
3. ⁱⁱⁱ Schiffman M, Castle PE, Jeronimo J, Rodriguez AC, Wacholder S. Human papillomavirus and cervical cancer. *Lancet.* 2007; 370(9590):890-907.
4. ^{iv} Jit M, Gay N, Soldan K, et al. Estimating progression rates for human papillomavirus infection from epidemiological data. *Med Decis Making.* 2010;30:84-98
5. ^v Einstein MH, Martens MG, Garcia FA, et al. Clinical validation of the Cervista HPV HR and 16/18 genotyping tests for use in women with ASC-US cytology. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2010;118:116-22
6. ^{vi} Ranjana H, Sathna S. Comparison of conventional pap smear versus liquid based cytology in a diagnostic centre of central Madhya Pradesh. *Indian J Pathol Oncol.* 2016 Jan;3(1):42-7.
7. ^{vii} Sherwani RK, Khan T, Akhtar K, Zeba A, Siddiqui FA, Rahman K, Afsan N. Conventional Pap smear and liquid based cytology for cervical cancer screening-A comparative study. *J Cytology.* 2007 Oct 1;24(4):167.
8. ^{viii} Kenneth DH and Yao S Fu. Cervical and vaginal cancer, Novak's Textbook of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 13th ed. Baltimore: WB Saunders Co; 2002:471-493.
9. ^{ix} Karimi-Zarchi M, Peighambari F, Karimi N, Rohi M, Chiti Z. A comparison of 3 ways of conventional pap smear, liquid-based cytology and colposcopy vs cervical biopsy for early diagnosis of premalignant lesions or cervical cancer in women with abnormal conventional pap test. *IJBS.* 2013 Dec;9(4):205.
10. ^x Monsonogo J, Autillo-Touati A, Bergeron C, Dachez R, Liaras J, Saurel J, et al. Liquid-based cytology for primary cervical cancer screening: A multicentre study. *Br J Cancer* 2001;84:360-6.
11. ^{xi} Sheets EE, Constantine NM, Dinisco S, Dean B, Cibas ES. Colposcopically directed biopsies provide a basis for comparing the accuracy of thinprep and papanicolaou smears. *J Gynecol Tech* 1995;1:27-33.
12. ^{xii} Sherman ME, Schiffman MH, Lorincz AT, Herrero R, Hutchinson ML, Bratti C, et al. Cervical specimens collected in liquid buffer are

suitable for both cytologic screening and ancillary human papillomavirus testing. *Cancer* 1997;81:89-97.

13. ^{xiii} Roberts JM, Gurley AM, Thurloe JK, Bowditch R, Laverty CR. Evaluation of the thinprep pap test as an adjunct to the conventional pap smear. *Med J Aust* 1997;167:466-9.
14. ^{xiv} Papillo JL, Zarka MA, St John TL. Evaluation of the thinprep test in clinical practice; A seven-month, 16,314-case experience in Northern Vermont. *Acta Cytol* 1998;42:203-8.
15. ^{xv} Yeoh GP, Chan KW, Lauder I, Lam MB. Evaluation of the thinprep papanicolaou test in clinical practice: 6-month study of 17541 cases with histological correlation in 220 cases. *Hong Kong Med J* 1999;5:233-9.