TO EVALUATE THE OUTCOME OF PLATE FIXATION FOR DISPLACED OLECRANON FRACTURES: AN ANALYTICAL STUDY.

Dr. Angad Kumar Choudhary

Senior Resident, Department of Orthopedics, Jawaharlal Nehru Medical College, Bhagalpur, Bihar, India.

Article Info: Received 10 April 2020; Accepted 26 May 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.32553/ijmbs.v4i5.1314>

Corresponding author: Dr. Angad Kumar Choudhary

Conflict of interest: No conflict of interest.

Abstract

Objectives: To evaluate the outcome of plate fixation for displaced olecranon fractures

Materials and Methods: the present retrospective study was conducted in the Department of Orthopedics Jawaharlal Nehru Medical College, Bhagalpur from January 2018 to October 2018. Total 25 patients who underwent plate fixation of displaced olecranon fractures were reviewed by two surgeons were independently.

Results: mean arc of elbow motion 106.2° with range of motion from 10.2° - 116.7° and excellent clinical outcome was reported among 76% cases as per Broberg and Morrey scale. 22 patients achieved fracture union during 6 months follow up period.

Conclusion: Plate fixation is an effective treatment option for displaced olecranon fractures with a good functional outcome and a low incidence of complications.

Keywords: Olecranon, Fracture, Plate fixation, Ulna

Introduction

Proximal one third ulna fractures are common adult injuries around the elbow, which comprise broad spectrum of injuries including olecranon fractures and Monteggia fractures.

The management of complex, comminuted fracture of the olecranon can be extremely difficult, especially when it is combined with fracture of the radial head and/or coronoid process of the ulna or injury of the collateral ligament of the elbow joint.^{i,ii}

The treatment goals are to obtain anatomic reduction that remains stable over time, bone healing and functional recovery. To allow early functional mobilization and to prevent stiffness of the elbow joint, the fracture fixation has to provide secure stability.^{iii,iv} Tension band wiring is frequently recommended for non-comminuted fractures.^{v,vi} Plate fixation is commonly employed for comminuted fractures, because subchondral bony comminution opposite the tension band will cause failure in compression.^{vii,viii} Plate fixation also has been recommended for the management of simple olecranon fractures.

Very few reports have specifically addressed the treatment outcomes of comminuted fractures of the olecranon. Hence the present study was conducted with the aim to evaluate clinical outcome of locking plate fixation in cases of comminuted olecranon.

Material & Methods

Study Design

The present retrospective study was conducted in the Department of Orthopedics Jawaharlal Nehru Medical College, Bhagalpur from Jan 2018 to October 2018. Total 25 patients who underwent plate fixation of displaced olecranon fractures were reviewed by two surgeons were independently.

The study protocol was reviewed by the Ethical Committee of the Hospital and granted ethical clearance. After explaining the purpose and details of the study, a written informed consent was obtained.

Inclusion criteria

- Patient who signed the “informed consent” form
- Patients ≥ 18 years of age
- Patients with displaced olecranon fractures less than one month old

Exclusion criteria

- Patients <18 years of age
- Patients with additional ipsilateral upper extremity injury
- Patients who were unfit for surgery

Methodology

Pre-operatively the neurovascular status and radiological assessment of the fractured limb was done. The decision of the exact modality of surgery and fixation was made. Surgical antibiotic prophylaxis was given within one hour of surgery. Patients were given general or regional anaesthesia. Position of the patient was lateral decubitus with arm over padded bar allowing elbow flexion. Image intensifier was kept for intra-operative imaging if required.

Posterior midline approach was used for olecranon fracture.

Postoperatively antibiotics were given as per hospital protocol. Analgesics and other supportive management were given according to the patient need. The patients were discharged according to the overall well-being of the patient, preferably on third or fourth day with medications convenient to be taken at home. Range of motion exercises of the shoulder and elbow was begun within 2 weeks.

Outcome assessment

All patients were asked to attend for routine review at three months and 6 month Standard The clinical results were assessed according to the Broberg and Morrey scale^{ix}, which categorizes results as excellent (95 points), good (80 to 94 points), fair (60 to 79 points) and poor (59 points). Analysis of any complications observed in terms of loss of reduction, infection, problems of union and implant failure.

Statistical Analysis

The recorded data was compiled and entered in a spreadsheet computer program (Microsoft Excel 2010) and then exported to data editor page of SPSS version 19 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA). Descriptive statistics included computation of percentages. The statistical test applied for the analysis was Pearson's chi-square test (χ^2). The confidence interval and p-value were set at 95% and ≤ 0.05 respectively.

Results

Table 1: Age and gender profile of the study population

Variables	N (%)
Gender	
Male	19 (76.0%)
Female	6 (24.0%)
Age	
18-27 Years	6 (24.0%)
28-37 Years	11 (44.0%)
38-47 Years	5 (20.0%)
>47 Years	3 (12.0%)
Total	25 (100.0%)
Mean $\pm$ SD	45.76 $\pm$ 3.81

Table 2: distribution patients as per laterality and Mayo classification

Variables	N (%)
Laterality	
Right	9 (36.0%)
Left	16 (64.0%)
Mayo Classification	
IIA	16 (64.0%)
IIB	5 (20.0%)
IIIA	4 (16.0%)
Total	25 (100.0%)

Table 3: Range of Motion at 3 months and 6 months follow-up

Variables	3 months	6 months
Mean Flexion Extension Arc	92.8 ^o	106.2 ^o
Mean Flexion Extension Range	11.8 ^o -105.2 ^o	10.2 ^o -116.7 ^o

Table 4: clinical outcome according to Broberg and Morrey scale

Broberg and Morrey scale	N (%)
Excellent	19 (76.0%)
Good	4 (16.0%)
Fair	2 (8.0%)
Poor	0 (0.0%)

Table 5: distribution of complication

Complications	N (%)
Loss of reduction	0 (0.0%)
Infection	0 (0.0%)
Implant failure	0 (0.0%)
Delayed Union	3 (12.0%)

Discussion

Open reduction and stable internal fixation with the goal of anatomical reduction of the articular surface is the gold standard for olecranon fracture treatment. Non comminuted olecranon fractures can be treated by tension band wiring or plating. In cases of comminute fractures of proximal ulna it has many pitfalls like loss of fixation, prominence of hardware, impingement and synostosis.

Use of locking plate avoids these complications and can also be used in comminuted as well as non-comminuted fractures. It also provides structural stability, resists ulnar angulation, and restores ulna length. In addition, plate fixation lowers the risk of fatigue failure caused by extreme bending stresses.

Operative treatment by plating has been shown to provide more predictable alignment and immediate fracture stability, allowing early elbow mobilization.

There are many reports of favorable results using a plate and screws system for stabilizing olecranon fractures. Anderson et al. reported 92% (22 of 24) good or excellent results after congruent plate fixation of olecranon fracture.^x

In the present study mean arc of elbow motion 106.2^o with range of motion from 10.2^o-116.7^o and excellent clinical outcome was reported among 76% cases as per Broberg and Morrey scale. Ring et al. reported 88% (15 of 17) good or excellent results after plate fixation of trans-olecranon fracture dislocations, with a mean flexion of 14^o to 127^o.^{xi} Seyed et al. treated eight patients with trans-olecranon fracture dislocations; all seven treated with plating had a good or excellent result.^{xii}

To our knowledge, few reports have specifically addressed the treatment outcomes of comminuted fractures of the olecranon. Ikeda et al. treated ten patients with comminuted fractures of the olecranon by multiple tension-band wiring and a graft from the iliac crest. They reported that 100% of their patients had an excellent or good result, with a mean flexion of 15° to 135°. ^{xiii}

References

1. ⁱ Hak DJ, and Golladay GJ. Olecranon fractures: treatment options. J Am Acad Orthop Surg. 2000;8:266-275.
2. ⁱⁱ Quintero J, Vareck T. Olecranon, radial head, and complex elbow injuries. In: Ruedi TP, Buckley RE, Moran CG, eds. AO principles of fracture management, vol 2: Specific fractures, 2nd edn. Stuttgart: Thieme, 2007:626–41.
3. ⁱⁱⁱ Newman SDS, Mauffrey C, and Krikler S. Olecranon fractures. Injury. 2009;40:575-581.
4. ^{iv} Sahajpal D, and Wright TW. Proximal ulna fractures. J Hand Surg Am. 2009;34:357-362.
5. ^v Holdsworth BJ, Mossad M. Elbow function following tension band fixation of displaced fractures of the olecranon. Injury 1984;16: 182-87.
6. ^{vi} Rowland SA, Burkhart SS. Tension band wiring of olecranon fractures: a modification of the AO technique. Clin Orthop 1992;227: 238-42.
7. ^{vii} Tan SL, and Balogh ZJ. Indications and limitations of locked plating. Injury. 2009;40:683-691.
8. ^{viii} Siebenlist S, Torsiglieri T, Kraus T, Burghardt RD, Stöckle U et al. Comminuted fractures of the proximal ulna—Preliminary results with an anatomically preshaped locking compression plate (LCP) system. Injury. 2010;41(12):1306-11.
9. ^{ix} Broberg MA, Morrey BF. Results of treatment of fracture-dislocations of the elbow. Clin Orthop Relat Res, 1987, 216: 109–119.
10. ^x Anderson ML, Larson AN, Merten SM, et al. Congruent elbow plate fixation of olecranon fractures. J Orthop Trauma, 2007, 21: 386-93.
11. ^{xi} Ring D, Jupiter JB, Sanders RW, et al. Transolecranon fracture-dislocation of the elbow. J Orthop Trauma, 1997, 11: 545–550.
12. ^{xii} Mortazavi SM, Asadollahi S, Tahririan MA. Functional outcome following treatment of transolecranon fracture-dislocation of the elbow. Injury, 2006, 37: 284–288.
13. ^{xiii} Ikeda M, Fukushima Y, Kobayashi Y, et al. Comminuted fractures of the olecranon. Management by bone graft from the iliac crest and multiple tensionband wiring. J Bone Joint Surg Br, 2001, 83: 805–808.