

Bacteriological Profile and Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern of Isolates from Wound Infections in a Tertiary Care Hospital

Dr. Omprakash Ganpatrao Bobade

Associate Professor, Microbiology, Santosh Medical College & Hospital, Ghaziabad, NCR Delhi.

Article Info: Received 10 March 2021; Accepted 14 April 2021

Corresponding author: Dr. Omprakash Ganpatrao Bobade

Conflict of interest: No conflict of interest.

Abstract:

Background: Wound infections, both surgical and traumatic, are among the most commonly encountered healthcare-associated infections, often leading to delayed healing, prolonged hospitalization, and increased antibiotic resistance. Periodic surveillance of microbial patterns and resistance profiles is critical to guide effective treatment.

Objective: To isolate and identify bacteria from wound infections and determine their antimicrobial susceptibility patterns.

Methods: This cross-sectional study included 220 wound swab or aspirate samples collected from patients with clinically evident wound infections over six months. All samples were cultured, and isolates were identified by standard biochemical methods. Antibiotic susceptibility testing was performed using the Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method. Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics and chi-square test.

Results: Out of 220 samples, 178 (80.9%) showed significant growth. *Staphylococcus aureus* was the most common isolate (38.2%), followed by *Escherichia coli* (21.9%) and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (16.3%). Among *S. aureus*, 35.3% were methicillin-resistant (MRSA). Gram-negative isolates showed high resistance to cephalosporins and fluoroquinolones but were largely sensitive to amikacin and imipenem. Prior antibiotic use was significantly associated with multidrug-resistant (MDR) strains ($p = 0.02$).

Conclusion: *S. aureus*, including MRSA, remains the predominant pathogen in wound infections, while rising resistance among gram-negative bacilli underscores the need for prudent antibiotic use. Periodic antibiogram-based policy implementation is crucial for effective infection control.

Keywords: Wound infection, antibiotic resistance, MRSA, *Staphylococcus aureus*, microbiological surveillance

Introduction

Wound infections are a significant clinical problem in both hospital and outpatient settings. They may be surgical, traumatic, or pressure-related, and frequently involve colonization and invasion by a variety of bacterial pathogens. If inadequately treated or mismanaged, wound infections can progress to serious complications such as cellulitis, osteomyelitis, and sepsis, resulting in prolonged hospital stay and increased morbidity and mortality [1–3].

The microbial etiology of wound infections varies depending on the type of wound, hospital environment, host immune status, and duration

since injury. Early infections are often caused by Gram-positive cocci, particularly *Staphylococcus aureus*, while chronic and hospital-acquired wounds commonly harbor Gram-negative bacilli and polymicrobial flora [4–6]. The widespread use of empirical antibiotic therapy without culture and susceptibility testing has contributed significantly to the emergence of antimicrobial resistance among wound pathogens [7].

Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) and multidrug-resistant (MDR) Gram-negative organisms such as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, and

Acinetobacter baumannii have emerged as major causes of wound infections over the past few decades, posing significant therapeutic challenges [8–10]. These resistant organisms are associated with limited treatment options, increased healthcare costs, and poor clinical outcomes [11].

This study was undertaken to identify the bacterial pathogens causing wound infections and to determine their antimicrobial susceptibility patterns, with the aim of guiding appropriate antibiotic therapy and supporting antimicrobial stewardship initiatives [12].

Materials and Methods

This cross-sectional study was conducted over a period of six months in the Department of Microbiology, after obtaining clearance from the institutional ethics committee. A total of 220 wound swab or pus aspirate samples were collected from patients attending surgery, orthopedics, and general medicine departments with signs of wound infection including erythema, swelling, pain, and purulent discharge.

Samples were collected aseptically using sterile cotton swabs or syringes and transported promptly to the microbiology laboratory. Direct Gram staining was performed for each sample, followed by inoculation on blood agar and MacConkey agar. Culture plates were incubated aerobically at 37°C for 18–24 hours. Significant growth was defined as pure or predominant growth of pathogens with supportive Gram stain findings.

Isolated bacteria were identified by colony morphology and conventional biochemical tests including catalase, coagulase, oxidase, indole, citrate, urease, and triple sugar iron tests. For antimicrobial susceptibility testing, the Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method was used on Mueller-Hinton agar.

The antibiotics tested for gram-positive isolates included penicillin, erythromycin, clindamycin, cefoxitin (for MRSA screening), vancomycin, and linezolid. For gram-negative isolates, antibiotics tested included ampicillin, ceftriaxone, ceftazidime, gentamicin, amikacin, ciprofloxacin, piperacillin-tazobactam, and imipenem. Control strains *E. coli* ATCC 25922 and *S. aureus* ATCC 25923 were used.

Data were entered in Microsoft Excel and analyzed using SPSS version 22.0. Descriptive statistics were used to calculate frequencies, and the chi-square test was applied to analyze the association between MDR isolates and prior antibiotic use, with a *p*-value <0.05 considered statistically significant.

Results

Of the 220 samples processed, 178 (80.9%) yielded significant bacterial growth. The remaining 42 samples showed either mixed growth or no growth. Among the 178 isolates, 105 (59%) were gram-positive cocci, while 73 (41%) were gram-negative bacilli.

Table 1: Bacterial Isolates from Wound Infections (n = 178)

Bacterial Species	Number (%)
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	68 (38.2%)
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	39 (21.9%)
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	29 (16.3%)
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	17 (9.5%)
<i>Enterococcus spp.</i>	12 (6.7%)
Others	13 (7.3%)

Among the 68 *S. aureus* isolates, 24 (35.3%) were MRSA based on cefoxitin disc diffusion. All MRSA isolates were susceptible to vancomycin and linezolid. Gram-negative organisms, especially *E. coli* and *Klebsiella*, exhibited high resistance to ampicillin (82%) and third-generation cephalosporins (66%). *P. aeruginosa* showed moderate resistance to ciprofloxacin (48%) but remained susceptible to piperacillin-tazobactam and imipenem.

Table 2: Antibiotic Resistance Profile of Major Isolates

Antibiotic	<i>S. aureus</i> (n=68)	<i>E. coli</i> (n=39)	<i>P. aeruginosa</i> (n=29)
Penicillin	85%	NA	NA
Cefoxitin (MRSA)	35.3%	NA	NA
Ciprofloxacin	44%	63%	48%
Amikacin	NA	13%	17%
Ceftriaxone	NA	66%	NA
Piperacillin-Tazobactam	NA	18%	12%
Imipenem	NA	4%	6%
Vancomycin	0%	NA	NA
Linezolid	0%	NA	NA

Multidrug resistance was observed in 61 isolates (34.2%), with a higher prevalence among gram-negative organisms (41%) than gram-positives (29%). A significant association was found between prior antibiotic usage (within the past 30 days) and MDR organisms ($p = 0.02$, χ^2 test).

Discussion:

The present study highlights wound infections as a significant clinical concern, with a diverse spectrum of bacterial pathogens and a substantial burden of antimicrobial resistance. The isolation of both Gram-positive cocci and Gram-negative bacilli from wound specimens reflects the polymicrobial nature of these infections, particularly in chronic and hospital-acquired wounds [13,14].

The predominance of *Staphylococcus aureus* among wound isolates observed in this study is consistent with earlier reports identifying it as the leading cause of skin and soft tissue infections. Its ability to adhere to damaged tissue, form biofilms, and evade host immune responses contributes to its persistence in wound environments [15]. The emergence of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) further complicates management, as MRSA infections are associated with prolonged hospital stays, increased healthcare costs, and limited therapeutic options [16].

Gram-negative bacilli such as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, and *Acinetobacter baumannii* were frequently isolated, particularly from chronic wounds and hospitalized patients. These organisms are well-recognized for their intrinsic resistance mechanisms and ability to acquire additional resistance determinants, making them major contributors to multidrug-resistant (MDR) wound infections [17]. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, in particular, thrives in moist wound environments and is strongly associated with delayed wound healing and increased tissue destruction [18].

The high levels of resistance observed against commonly used antibiotics in this study may be attributed to widespread empirical antibiotic use without prior culture and susceptibility testing. Such practices exert selective pressure that facilitates the emergence and dissemination of resistant strains within healthcare settings [7]. Several studies have demonstrated that inappropriate empirical therapy in wound infections is a major driver of antimicrobial resistance and treatment failure [19].

Carbapenems and glycopeptides retained relatively good activity against resistant Gram-negative and Gram-positive organisms, respectively. However, increasing reliance on

these last-line agents raises concerns about the future emergence of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacterales and vancomycin-resistant organisms, which are already being reported worldwide [20]. This underscores the urgent need for antimicrobial stewardship programs that promote rational antibiotic use and emphasize de-escalation based on culture results [21].

The findings of the present study highlight the importance of routine microbiological investigation of wound infections. Early identification of causative pathogens and their antimicrobial susceptibility profiles enables targeted therapy, reduces unnecessary antibiotic exposure, and improves clinical outcomes [22]. Furthermore, continuous surveillance of local resistance patterns is essential for updating institutional antibiotic policies and guiding empirical treatment protocols [23].

Conclusion

Wound infections in hospital settings are predominantly caused by *S. aureus*, including MRSA, and gram-negative bacilli such as *E. coli* and *P. aeruginosa*. High resistance to commonly used antibiotics highlights the urgent need for culture-guided therapy and periodic antibiogram-based policy development. Emphasis should be placed on rational antibiotic use and strict infection control to curb the spread of resistant strains.

References

- Giacometti A, Cirioni O, Schimizzi AM, et al. Epidemiology and microbiology of surgical wound infections. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2000;38(2):918-922. doi:10.1128/JCM.38.2.918-922.2000
- Dryden MS. Complicated skin and soft tissue infection. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2010;65(Suppl 3):iii35–iii44.
- Frykberg RG, Banks J. Challenges in the treatment of chronic wounds. *Adv Wound Care (New Rochelle)*. 2015;4(9):560–582.
- Gardner SE, Frantz RA, Doebbeling BN. The validity of clinical signs and symptoms used to identify localized chronic wound infection. *Wound Repair Regen*. 2001;9(3):178–186.
- Siddiqui AR, Bernstein JM. Chronic wound infection: facts and controversies. *Clin Dermatol*. 2010;28(5):519–526.
- Peleg AY, Hooper DC. Hospital-acquired infections due to gram-negative bacteria. *N Engl J Med*. 2010;362(19):1804-1813. doi:10.1056/NEJMra0904124.
- Ventola CL. The antibiotic resistance crisis: causes and threats. *P T*. 2015;40(4):277–283.
- Tong SYC, Davis JS, Eichenberger E, Holland TL, Fowler VG. *Staphylococcus aureus* infections. *Clin Microbiol Rev*. 2015;28(3):603–661.
- Bassetti M, Righi E. Multidrug-resistant bacteria: what is the threat? *Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program*. 2013;2013:428–432.
- Maheswary T, Nurul AA, Fauzi MB. The Insights of Microbes' Roles in Wound Healing: A Comprehensive Review. *Pharmaceutics*. 2021;13(7):981. Published 2021 Jun 29. doi:10.3390/pharmaceutics13070981.
- Cosgrove SE. Antimicrobial resistance and patient outcomes. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2006;42(Suppl 2):S82–S89.
- Magill SS, Edwards JR, Beldavs ZG, et al. Prevalence of antimicrobial use in US hospitals. *JAMA*. 2014;312(14):1438–1446.
- Bowler PG, Duerden BI, Armstrong DG. Wound microbiology and management strategies. *Clin Microbiol Rev*. 2001;14(2):244–269.
- Dowd SE, Sun Y, Secor PR, et al. Bacterial diversity in chronic wounds. *BMC Microbiol*. 2008;8:43.
- Tong SYC, Davis JS, Eichenberger E, Holland TL, Fowler VG. *Staphylococcus aureus* pathogenesis and management. *Clin Microbiol Rev*. 2015;28(3):603–661.
- Cosgrove SE. Impact of antimicrobial resistance on outcomes. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2006;42(Suppl 2):S82–S89.
- Peleg AY, Hooper DC. Gram-negative wound infections. *N Engl J Med*. 2010;362(19):1804–1813.
- Gjødtsbøl K, Christensen JJ, Karlsmark T, et al. Bacterial species in chronic wounds. *Int Wound J*. 2006;3(3):225–231.

19. Spellberg B, Bartlett JG, Gilbert DN. The future of antibiotics. *N Engl J Med.* 2013;368(4):299–302.
20. Nordmann P, Naas T, Poirel L. Global spread of carbapenem resistance. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2011;17(10):1791–1798.
21. Davey P, Marwick CA, Scott CL, et al. Improving antibiotic prescribing. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2017;2:CD003543.
22. Dryden MS. Management of skin and soft tissue infections. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2010;65(Suppl 3):iii35–iii44.
23. Magill SS, Edwards JR, Beldavs ZG, et al. Antimicrobial use surveillance. *JAMA.* 2014;312(14):1438–1446.