



PROGNOSTIC FACTORS IN SEVERE HEAD INJURY "A CLINICO RADIOLOGICAL STUDY"

Dr. I. D. Chaurasia*¹ (Associate Professor), Dr. Avais Ahmed Khan² (Resident), Dr. Neeraj Mane³ (Resident), Dr. Prateek Malpani⁴ (Resident) & Dr. M. C. Songara⁵ (Prof. & Head)

Neurosurgery, Gandhi Medical College, Bhopal¹

Dept. of Surgery, Gandhi Medical College, Bhopal^{2,3,4&5}

Article Info: Received 10 December 2018; Accepted 08 January. 2019

Cite this article as: Chaurasia, D. I. D., Khan, D. A. A., Mane, D. N., Malpani, D. P., & Songara, D. M. C. (2019).

PROGNOSTIC FACTORS IN SEVERE HEAD INJURY "A CLINICO RADIOLOGICAL STUDY"; *International Journal of Medical and Biomedical Studies*, 3(1).

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.32553/ijmbs.v3i1.75>

Address for Correspondence: Dr. Avais Ahmed Khan, Dept. of Surgery, Gandhi Medical College, Bhopal

Conflict of interest: No conflict of interest.

Abstract

Background: Traumatic Brain injuries (TBI) are a real social problem with an upward trend world wide. For these reason prognostic factors in head injury are of major importance to all surgeons who treat patients with severe head injury especially for countries like India for better targeting of limited health care resources and implementation of specific methods of treatment to patients and to determine the incidence of age, sex, distribution, etiological factors, clinical presentation, neurologically assessment and mode of head injuries with particular reference to severe head injury.

Aim & Objective: Our aim and objective was to analyze prognostic factors to assess the outcome after severe head injury as well as to correlate the clinical finding with radiological finding.

Methods: This study was conducted in the Neurosurgery unit of Surgery department of Hamidia Hospital which is affiliated with Gandhi Medical College, Bhopal. India from Jan 2015 to Dec 2017. In this study 300 patients of severe head injury were included These patients were evaluated for the severity of the head injury (GCS) ,clinical presentation ,X-rays, C T scan/MRI, early complication (Hypoxia and Hypotension) and Post traumatic seizures.

Result: In all 300 patients with severe head injury were identified The above table shows that road traffic accident is the main cause of head injury in majority of cases with male preponderance. Incidence of head injury due to fall from height was nearly equal in both sex. It was found that maximum number of head injury patients belonged to the age group of 21-40 years (53%) in male whereas in female (50%).

Conclusion: Males are more prone to head injury due to road traffic accident with maximum in two – wheeler accidents as most of the patients were not wearing helmets. CSF otorrhoea was more common than CSF rhinorrhoea. Extradural hematoma was more common in temporo-parietal region and Coup injuries were more common than contre coup injuries. In addition GCS, type of trauma and brain lesion, hypoxia and hypotension, hyperglycemia and early post traumatic seizures are the important prognostic factors

Keywords: Prognostic, Severe Head Injury, GCS, CT Scan & Radiological.

Study Designed: Prospective Study

Introduction:

Injury has been defined as any harm whatever illegally caused to any person in body, mind, reputation or property, vide section 44 Indian Penal Code (Mallick)^[1]. Head Injury (HI) is the lesion or dysfunction of cranium, meninges and/or brain caused by any external mechanical force (Bordignon and Arruda, 2002)^[2]. In a rapidly developing country led to the increased incidence of road traffic accidents (RTA) which is one of the leading causes of HI accounting for upto 60% of the total cases (Amanda et al., 2014). The other causes include fall from height - at work or home, sports injuries, physical assault etc (Amanda et al., 2014). HI imposes a huge socio-economic burden in terms of unfortunate deaths, injuries and loss of potential income. The negative impact is felt not only by the person suffering from HI but also on the relatives & the economy. The Glasgow coma scale is a standardized measurement of coma which numerically rates the response of eye opening, verbal response and motor response of the patients with head injury.

Prediction of outcome of severe head injury should be based upon GCS evaluation, brain stem reflexes, type of CNS lesion, papillary response, eye movements, motor posturing and presence of increased intra cranial pressure. Patients with focal brain lesion especially acute subdural haematoma have a higher mortality, raised ICP indicates unfavorable outcome.

Decision regarding surgical and Medical management of patients with severe head injury should be based upon these prognostic factors.

Traumatic brain injury (TBI) is a non-degenerative, non-congenital insult to the brain from an external mechanical force, perhaps foremost to permanent or temporary impairment of cognitive, physical and psychological functions with an associated diminished or changed state of consciousness.

Trauma is the most vital origin of fatality in people from 1st year to 44 years of life. It is the main determinant of morbidity, disability & mortality in this group.^[3] Severe TBI is related with 30 to 70% mortality rate^[4] & recovery of survivors is marked by severe neurological sequels therefore impairing quality of life.^[5]

Material & Method

To determine the incidence of age, sex, distribution, etiological factors, clinical presentation, neurologically assessment and mode of head injuries with particular reference to severe head injury. This prospective study was carried out in the Neurosurgery Unit of Gandhi Medical College, Bhopal India. in the patients of head injury who were admitted during Jan 2015 to Dec 2017. In this period 300 patients of severe head injury were identified and included in the study

Inclusion criteria

- All patients all patients with severe head injury GCS or < 8 admitted in Hospital in emergency department are included.
- Patients of all age groups & all sexes were included.
- Patients of poly- trauma with head injury were included.
- Those were having skull fractures & cases with intra – cranial air on CT were included.

Exclusion criteria

Those patients who refused investigations or were not available for a 6 month follow up were excluded.

All the details about patient's profile (Name. Age, Sex, Date of admission and discharge, Short history: mechanisms of injury, unconsciousness, vomiting, convulsions, ENT bleeds) was taken into account of patients of head injuries and examinations and investigations were conducted.

1. General examination

a. External injury: laceration, abrasion or contusion.

b. Systemic injury: long bone or pelvic fracture, maxillary or mandible fracture chest injury, abdominal visceral injury or spinal injury.

1. Clinical examination: Patients were assessed clinically in terms of:

1. Pupillary examination –size & reaction were noted.
2. Planter reflexes : flexor or extensor

3. Pulse & Blood pressure

4. Localizing sign – mono paresis, hemi paresis & quadric paresis or sign of any cranial nerve injury

5. Evaluation of discharge from ear & nose.(CSF otorrhoea / rhinorrhoea)

1. Radiological investigations : x- ray Skull- A.P./ Lateral view, CT scan/ MRI

2. Management : Conservative / Operative

3. Final outcome

Results

Table 1: Age and sex distribution of cases.

S.NO.	Age Groups	Male (n=234)	Female (n=66)	Total (%)
1.	0-5	9	3	12(04%)
2.	6-10	9	6	15(05%)
3.	11-15	6	9	15(05%)
4.	16-20	27	3	30(10%)
5.	21-40	126	33	159(53%)
6.	41-60	33	6	39(13%)
7.	>60	24	6	30(10%)

The above table shows that road traffic accident is the main cause of head injury in majority of cases with male preponderance. Incidence of head injury due to fall from height was nearly equal in both sex. It was found that maximum number of head injury patients belonged to the age group of 21-40 years (53.84%) in male whereas in female (50%).

Table 2: Distribution of cases according to mode of injury.

S. No.	Mode of head injury	No of patients	Incidence (%)
1.	Road traffic accidents(RTA)	213	71%
2.	Fall from height	54	18%
3.	Non-fire arm assault	21	07%
4.	Firearm injury	12	04%

Maximum number of head injury was caused due to road traffic accidents (71%).

Table 3: Relationship between mode of head injury and associated systemic injuries.

S. No.	Type of Injury	Mode Of Injury	Fall from	Non-firearm	Firearm
		Road traffic accident	height	Injury	injury
1.	Long bone or pelvic fracture	27 (81.8%)	3 (9.1%)	3 (9.1%)	-
2.	Maxillary or mandibular fracture	6 (50.0%)	3 (25.0%)	3 (25.0%)	-
3.	Major chest injury	9 (75.0%)	3 (25.0%)	-	-
4.	Abdominal visceral injury	9 (100%)	-	-	-
5.	Spinal injury	3 (50.0%)	3 (50.0%)	-	-

Long bone or pelvic fracture was the most common associated injury 03 (9.24%) in these patients. Road traffic accident was the most common cause of associated systemic injury affecting the long bone or pelvis 27 (81.8%) followed by major chest injury and maxillary or mandibular fracture.

Discussion

As stated by Carey^[6], the aim of surgery in open compound head injuries is to convert an open injury to a close injury by debriding the scalp and damaged brain, through removing the bone fragments & elevation of depressed fractures & dural repair either by using the existing adjacent dura or by grafting. Wylen and Nanda^[7] in a series of 52 patients of depressed skull fracture & conceded out elevation & repair within 72 hours in 32 cases with good results.

Ommaya et al^[8] observed that maximum number of post- traumatic CSF leakage, i.e CSF rhinorrhoea and CSF otorrhoea will cease within one week with conservative treatment. Current

study, conventional treatment was given to all cases of CSF rhinorrhoea and CSF otorrhoea and all cases of CSF rhinorrhoea stopped within one week which was similar to the study of Ommaya (1977)^[8], which stated that maximum number of CSF rhinorrhoea will stop within one week.

TBI are more common in males than females increasing age was associated with worse outcome^(9,10,11) other author also state that the association was apparent after the age of 40⁽¹²⁾ and especially above 60⁽¹³⁾ there is strong evidence for the prognostic value of GCS on admission in the hospital and lower GCS motor scores were associated worse outcome^(14,15) The absence of or abnormal papillary reaction also have worse outcome in TBI⁽¹⁶⁾

Computerized tomography (CT) scanning provide structural damage to the brain and associated outcome following TBI .the most common classification used after TBI was the Marshall classification with was proposed in 1991 by Marshall et al.⁽¹⁷⁾

Table 4: Marshall computerized tomography (CT) classification.

Category	Definition
Diffuse injury I(no visible pathology)	No visible intracranial pathology seen on CT scan.
Diffuse injury II	Cisterns are present with mid-line shift 0-5 mm and /or lesion densities present; no high or mixed density lesion >25 cc may include bone fragments and foreign bodies.
Diffuse injury III (swelling)	Cisterns compressed or absent with mid-line shift 0-5mm; no high or mixed density lesion.25 mm.
Diffuse injury IV(shift)	Mid-line shift.5 mm; no high or mixed density lesion.25 cc
Evacuated mass lesion	Any lesion surgically evacuated.
-mass lesion	High or mixed density lesion>25cc; not surgically evacuated.

The prognosis in patients with mass effect was better in patients with EDH and poor for patients with acute SDH⁽¹⁸⁾. Also the mid-line shift and increasing size of shift was associated poor outcome. Hypotension and hypoxia following TBI were associated with adverse outcome^(19,20) Hyperglycaemia and anemia which is common problem in critically ill patients is associated with poor outcome in TBI⁽²¹⁾

Conclusion

Males are more likely to have head injury due to road traffic accident with maximum in two – wheeler accidents as most of the patients were not wearing safeguards. CSF otorrhoea was more familiar than CSF rhinorrhoeas. Extradural hematoma was more widespread in tempero-periental region & Coup injuries were more familiar than contre coup injuries.

Different prognostic factors age, GCS, pupil response, Marshall CT classification and traumatic SAH are important prognoses factors. Other important prognosis factors included hypotension, hypoxia, anemia, hyperglycemia and mid-line shift.

Various Advantage of reducing pressure by decompressive craniotomy with duraplasty. Early and aggressive management always gives good results.

References

1. Mallick MR (No Date). The Code of Criminal Procedure and Indian Penal Code (Professional Book Publishers) 11 145.
2. Bordignon Kelly C and Arruda Walter Oleschko (2002). CT scan findings in mild head trauma. *Arquivos de Neuro-Psiquiatria* 60(2-A) 204-210.
3. Bruns J Jr, Hauser WA. The epidemiology of traumatic brain injury: a review. *Epilepsia*, 2003; 44 Supple 10: 2-10.
4. Kraus JF, Macarthur DL, Silverman TA, JayaramM. Epidemiology of brain injury. In: NatrajanRK, Wilbergerje JR, Povlishock JT, editors. *Neurotrauma*. New York: Mc Graw Hill, 1996; 13-30.
5. Finfer SR, Cohen J. Severe traumatic brain injury. *Resuscitation*, 2001; 48(1): 77-90.
6. Wylen EL, Nanda A. Infection rate with replacement of bone fragment in compound depressed skull fractures. *Surg Neurol*, 1999; 4: 452-457.
7. Stephanov S. Brain abscess from neglected open head injuries. Experience with 17 cases over 20 years. *Swiss Surg*, 1999; 6: 288-92.
8. Ameen A Ameen et al. Variables for post traumatic meningitis. *JNeurosurg*, 1998; 88: 471-477.
9. Husson EC ribbers GM, Willemese-van Son AH,Verhagen AP stem HJ prognosis of six month functioning after moderate to severe tramatic brain injury; a systematic rewive of prospective cohort studies. *J rehabil med*.2010;42(5);425-436
10. Murray GD butcherI, Mchugh GS lu j, mushkudiani NA maas AI,et al. Progonstic analysis in traumatic brain injury results from the IMPACT study.j *Neurotrauma* 200724(2)329-337
11. Mushkudiani NA engel DC Steyerberg EW butcher I, IUJ Marmarou A, et al prognostic value of demographic characterstics in traumatic brain injury; result from the IMPACT study j *Neurotrama* 2007;24(2);259-269
12. MRC CRASH trial collaborators perel P arango M, clayton T, Edwards p, Komolafe E, at al. Prediction outcome after traumatic brain injury; practical prognostic models based on large cohort of internation patients *BMJ*.2008; 336(7641);425-429
13. Saadat S, Akbari H, Khorramirouz R, Mofid R, Rahimi-Movaghar V. Determinants of mortality in patients with traumatic brain injury. *Ulus Travma Acil Cerrahi Derg*. 2012; 18(3): 219–224.
14. Hebb MO, McArthur DL, Alger J, Etchepare M, Glenn TC, Bergsneider M, et al. Impaired percent alpha variability on continuous electroencephalography is associated with thalamic injury and predicts poor long-term outcome after human traumatic brain injury. *J Neurotrauma* 2007; 24(4): 579–590.
15. Marmarou A, Lu J, Butcher I, McHugh GS, Murray GD, Steyerberg EW, et al. Prognostic value of the Glasgow Coma Scale and pupil reactivity in traumatic brain injury assessed pre-hospital and on enrollment: an IMPACT analysis. *J Neurotrauma*. 2007; 24(2): 270–280.
16. Murray GD, Butcher I, McHugh GS, Lu J, Mushkudiani NA, Maas AI, et al.

- Multivariable prognostic analysis in traumatic brain injury: results from the IMPACT study. *J Neurotrauma* 2007; 24(2): 329–337.
17. Maas AI, Steyerberg EW, Butcher I, Dammers R, Lu J, Marmarou A, et al. Prognostic Value of Computerized Tomography Scan Characteristics in Traumatic Brain Injury: Results from the IMPACT Study. *J Neurotrauma*. 2007; 24(2): 303–314.
 18. Bahloul M, Chelly H, Ben Hmida M, Ben Hamida C, Ksibi H, Kallel H, et al. Prognosis of traumatic head injury in South Tunisia: a multivariate analysis of 437 cases. *J Trauma*. 2004; 57(2): 255–261.
 19. Rejeb I, Chakroun O, Chtara K, Boujelbene M, Ksibi H, Chaari A, et al. Factors predicting early outcome in patients admitted at emergency department with severe head trauma. *J Acute Dis*. 2015; 4(1): 68–72.
 20. McHugh GS, Engel DC, Butcher I, Steyerberg EW, Lu J, Mushkudiani N, et al. Prognostic value of secondary insults in traumatic brain injury: results from the IMPACT study. *J Neurotrauma*. 2007; 24(2): 287–293.
 21. Van Beek JG, Mushkudiani NA, Steyerberg EW, Butcher I, McHugh GS, Lu J, et al. Prognostic value of admission laboratory parameters in traumatic brain injury: results from the IMPACT study. *J Neurotrauma*. 2007; 24(2): 315–328.